


Evaluation CAPN 2019

Each year the coordination of CAPN asks participants to review the archaeological field. This review was based on the response, anonymously, to a questionnaire. The participants had prior knowledge of the questionnaire content. The range of satisfying answers ranged from 1 (very dissatisfied) to 5 (extremely satisfied). Intermediate values were 2 (not very satisfied), 3 (satisfied) and 4 (quite satisfied).


The evaluation by the participants is useful to guide future adjustments in the design and operation of the archaeological field camp. The director of the excavation, the sector coordinators and the remaining organization did not respond to the questionnaire.

As global assessment responses manifest a high degree of satisfaction. The average reached 4,5 (from 1 to 5).


1 - Overall assessment of CAPN 2019



2 - How did you find out about the CAPN 2019?




3 - Reception: location, schedules and initial contacts (averages: 4,5; 4,7; 4,7)




Extremely satisfied (5). Quite satisfied (4). Satisfied (3). Not very satisfied (2). Very dissatisfied (1).

4 - Amounts of food provided for breakfast, lunch and dinner (averages: 4,8; 4,9; 5,0)




Extremely satisfied (5). Quite satisfied (4). Satisfied (3). Not very satisfied (2). Very dissatisfied (1).

5 - Quality of the food provided for breakfast, lunch and dinner (averages: 4,8; 5,0; 4,8)




Extremely satisfied (5). Quite satisfied (4). Satisfied (3). Not very satisfied (2). Very dissatisfied (1).

6 – Meal hours (averages: 4,4; 3,9; 4,5)




Extremely satisfied (5). Quite satisfied (4). Satisfied (3). Not very satisfied (2). Very dissatisfied (1).

7 - Transport to the excavation site and field trip (averages: 4,8; 4,9)




Extremely satisfied (5). Quite satisfied (4). Satisfied (3). Not very satisfied (2). Very dissatisfied (1).

8 - Accommodation: bedroom, bed, toilet / bath and time of silence (averages: 4,1; 3,5; 4,0; 4,4)




Extremely satisfied (5). Quite satisfied (4). Satisfied (3). Not very satisfied (2). Very dissatisfied (1).

9 – Field trip: scientific interest, guides and duration (averages: 4,7; 4,9; 4,7)




Extremely satisfied (5). Quite satisfied (4). Satisfied (3). Not very satisfied (2). Very dissatisfied (1).

10 - Lectures: subject, speakers / presentation and duration (averages: 4,4; 4,5; 4,5)




Extremely satisfied (5). Quite satisfied (4). Satisfied (3). Not very satisfied (2). Very dissatisfied (1).

11 - Field practices: schedule, working materials and tasks performed (averages: 4,6; 4,8; 4,8)




Extremely satisfied (5). Quite satisfied (4). Satisfied (3). Not very satisfied (2). Very dissatisfied (1).

12 - Field practices: other aspects (averages: 4,8; 4,8; 4,8; 4,9; 4,9)




Extremely satisfied (5). Quite satisfied (4). Satisfied (3). Not very satisfied (2). Very dissatisfied (1).

13 - Field practices: outcome of learning, participation of members from different countries, participation of members from different educacional institutions, relationship with other participants and excavation technique (averages: 4,8; 4,4; 4,8; 4,9; 4,7)



Extremely satisfied (5). Quite satisfied (4). Satisfied (3). Not very satisfied (2). Very dissatisfied (1).

14 - Field practices: general organization and collaboration of Proença-a-Nova Municipality (averages: 4,8; 4,8)



Extremely satisfied (5). Quite satisfied (4). Satisfied (3). Not very satisfied (2). Very dissatisfied (1).