

Evaluation CAPN 2016

Each year the coordination of CAPN asks participants to review the archaeological field.

The evaluation by the participants is useful to guide future adjustments in the design and operation of the archaeological field camp.


This review was based on the response, anonymously, to a questionnaire, located in an online platform (Alcaide). The participants had prior knowledge of the questionnaire content.

On CAPN 2016 18 answers were recorded. The director of the excavation, the sector coordinators and the remaining organization did not respond to the questionnaire.

The range of satisfying answers ranged from 1 (very dissatisfied) to 5 (extremely satisfied). Intermediate values were 2 (not very satisfied), 3 (satisfied) and 4 (quite satisfied).


As global assessment responses manifest a high degree of satisfaction. The average reached **4,4** (from 1 to 5).

1 - How did you find out about the CAPN 2016?




- Participated the previous year (56%)
- On the web (0%)
- Through school or university (22%)
- Through friends or other archaeologists (22%)

2 - Reception: location, schedules and initial contacts (averages: 4,5; 4,6; 4,6)




Extremely satisfied (5). Quite satisfied (4). Satisfied (3). Not very satisfied (2). Very dissatisfied (1).

3 - Amounts of food provided for breakfast, lunch and dinner (averages: 4,7; 4,8; 4,8)




Extremely satisfied (5). Quite satisfied (4). Satisfied (3). Not very satisfied (2). Very dissatisfied (1).

4 - Quality of the food provided for breakfast, lunch and dinner (averages: 4,4; 4,7; 4,6)




Extremely satisfied (5). Quite satisfied (4). Satisfied (3). Not very satisfied (2). Very dissatisfied (1).

5 – Meal hours (averages: 4,6; 4,7; 4,4)




Extremely satisfied (5). Quite satisfied (4). Satisfied (3). Not very satisfied (2). Very dissatisfied (1).

6 - Transport to the excavation site and field trip (averages: 4,8; 4,7)




Extremely satisfied (5). Quite satisfied (4). Satisfied (3). Not very satisfied (2). Very dissatisfied (1).

7 - Accommodation: bedroom, bed, toilet / bath and time of silence (averages: 3,9; 3,4; 3,7; 3,9)




Extremely satisfied (5). Quite satisfied (4). Satisfied (3). Not very satisfied (2). Very dissatisfied (1).

8 – Field trips: scientific interest, guides and duration (averages: 4,6; 4,4; 3,9)




Extremely satisfied (5). Quite satisfied (4). Satisfied (3). Not very satisfied (2). Very dissatisfied (1).

9 - Lectures: subject, speakers / presentation and duration (averages: 3,8; 3,9; 3,5)




Extremely satisfied (5). Quite satisfied (4). Satisfied (3). Not very satisfied (2). Very dissatisfied (1).

10 - Field practices: schedule, working materials and tasks performed (averages: 4,8; 4,3; 3,9)




Extremely satisfied (5). Quite satisfied (4). Satisfied (3). Not very satisfied (2). Very dissatisfied (1).

11 - Field practices: other aspects (averages: 4,8; 4,8; 5,0; 4,5; 4,7)




Extremely satisfied (5). Quite satisfied (4). Satisfied (3). Not very satisfied (2). Very dissatisfied (1).

12 - Field practices: outcome of learning, participation of members from different countries, participation of members from different educacional institutions, relationship with other participants and excavation technique (averages: 4,7; 4,3; 4,7; 4,7; 4,7)




Extremely satisfied (5). Quite satisfied (4). Satisfied (3). Not very satisfied (2). Very dissatisfied (1).

13 - Field practices: general organization and collaboration of Proenca-a-Nova Municipality (averages: 4,6; 4,8)



Extremely satisfied (5). Quite satisfied (4). Satisfied (3). Not very satisfied (2). Very dissatisfied (1).

14 - Overall assessment of CAPN 2016



Extremely satisfied (5). Quite satisfied (4). Satisfied (3). Not very satisfied (2). Very dissatisfied (1).